arXiv:math/0303112v2 [math.DG] 23 Mar 2003

Degeneration of Kähler-Einstein Manifolds I:

The Normal Crossing Case

Wei-Dong Ruan
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL 60607

October 2002

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the Kähler-Einstein metrics for a degeneration family of Kähler manifolds with ample canonical bundles Gromov-Hausdorff converge to the complete Kähler-Einstein metric on the smooth part of the central fiber when the central fiber has only normal crossing singularities inside smooth total space. We also prove the incompleteness of the Weil-Peterson metric in this case.

1 Introduction

According to the conjecture of Calabi, on a complex manifold X with ample canonical bundle K_X, there should exist a Kähler-Einstein metric g. Namely, a metric satisfying $\mathrm{Ric}_g = -\omega_g$, where ω_g is the Kähler form of the Kähler metric g. The existence of such metrics was proved by Aubin and Yau ([10]) using complex Monge-Ampère equation. This important result has many applications in Kähler geometry. Since Kähler-Einstein metric is canonical for the manifold, one would expect its structure to be closely related to the topology and complex geometry of the manifold. Starting with this important result, Yau initiated the program of application of Kähler-Einstein metrics to algebraic geometry ([8]). It was realized by him the need to study such metrics for quasi-projective manifolds ([9]) and their degenerations. The original proof ([10]) was a purely existence result. Later the existence of $C_1(X) < 0$ Kähler-Einstein metrics was generalized to complete complex manifolds by Cheng and Yau ([2]), where other than existence the proof also exhibits the asymptotic behavior of the Kähler-Einstein metric near the infinity boundary.

---

[0] Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9703870 and DMS-0104150.

From algebraic geometry point of view, when discussing the compactification of the moduli space of complex manifold X with ample canonical bundle K_X, it is necessary to consider a holomorphic degeneration family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ such that $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ are smooth except for $t = 0$, and such that the canonical bundle of X_t for $t \neq 0$ as well as the dualizing sheaf of X_0 are ample. One important case is when $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and the central fibre X_0 is the union of smooth divisors $D_1, \cdots, D_l$ with normal crossings. As is well known, $K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_t} = K_{X_t}$ for $t \neq 0$ and $K_{X_0} := K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_0}$ is the dualizing sheaf of X_0. $K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{D_i} = K_{D_i} + \sum_{j\neq i} D_j$. The condition that the dualizing sheaf of X_0 is ample is equivalent to each line bundle $K_{D_i} + \sum_{j\neq i} D_j$ being ample on D_i for $1 \leq i \leq l$.

More generally for any index set $I = \{i_0, \cdots, i_k\}$, $K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{D_I} = K_{D_I} + \sum_{j\notin I} D_j$. Recall that for $I \subset J$, there is a natural multi-residue map:

$$r_{IJ} : \ K_{D_I} + \sum_{k\notin I} D_k \to K_{D_J} + \sum_{k\notin J} D_k.$$

A section Ω of K_{X_0} can be seen as a collection $\{\Omega_I\}$ of sections Ω_I of $K_{D_I} + \sum_{k\notin I} D_k$ that satisfy $r_{IJ}(\Omega_I) = \Omega_J$ for $I \subset J$.

For $t \neq 0$, the works of Aubin and Yau imply the existence of unique Kähler-Einstein metric g_t on X_t. For $t = 0$, the work of Cheng and Yau implies the existence of a complete Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{0,i}$ on each $D_i \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$. It is interesting to understand the relation between g_t for $t \neq 0$ and the collection of complete $g_{0,i}$'s. Such understanding will provide structure results on the Kähler-Einstein metric g_t (which was only known to exist previously) based on the structure of $g_{0,i}$'s. Extending Yau's program, G. Tian made the first important contribution (in [6]) in this direction. He proved (in [6]) that the Kähler-Einstein metric g_t on X_t is Gromov-Hausdorff convergent to the complete Kähler-Einstein metric $g_0 = \{g_{0,i}\}_{i=1}^{l}$ on the smooth part of X_0 under the following three assumptions:

(1). the total space $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth;

(2). the central fibre $X_0 = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{l} D_i$ has only normal crossing singularities;

(3). any three of the D_i's have empty intersection.

Assumption (2) (normal crossing condition) is rather natural. But (1) and (3) are technical requirements that most degeneration families (beyond curves) will not satisfy, and it is desirable to remove them. Among (1) and (3), (1) (the smoothness of the total space $\mathcal{X}$, or more generally, the base point free condition of the family) is much harder to remove.

Tian's proof in [6] can be naturally separated into three steps. The first step is the construction of certain smooth family of background Kähler metrics $\hat{g}_t$ on

X_t and their Kähler potential volume forms $\hat{V}_t$. (Assumptions (1) and (2) are needed in this step.) The second step is to construct a smooth family of approximate Kähler metrics g_t with Kähler form $\omega_t = \dfrac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log V_t$, where $V_t = h\hat{V}_t$ (h is a function on $\mathcal{X}$) satisfies certain uniform estimate independent of t. (Tian needed assumptions (1), (2) and (3) in this step.) The third step is to start with the smooth family of approximate Kähler metric g_t, using Monge-Ampère estimate of Aubin and Yau to derive uniform estimate (independent of t) for the smooth family of Kähler-Einstein metrics $g_{E,t}$, which is enough to ensure the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of the family to the unique complete Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{E,0} = \{g_{0,i}\}_{i=1}^{l}$ on the smooth part of X_0. (No retriction is needed for this step.) The most crucial step is the second step.

In this work, we will generalize Tian's result by removing assumption (3). Our proof will follow the general frame work of Tian's and proceed in three steps. The major differences of our approach from Tian's are mainly in the first two steps. In the first step, we provide a very simple construction of background Kähler metrics $\hat{g}_t$ that is valid even for the more general case (without all three assumptions of Tian as long as the dualizing sheaf of the central fibre is ample). In the second step, we use a construction of h different from Tian's which enables us to get the necessary estimates to carry out the third step without the restriction that any three of the D_i's have empty intersection. (We still need assumptions(1) and (2) here.) Therefore, besides proving our result, we are also able to reduce the program for general case to the construction of function h in the second step. Our first main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a degeneration of Kähler-Einstein manifolds $\{X_t, g_{E,t}\}$ with $\mathrm{Ric}(g_{E,t}) = -g_{E,t}$. Assume that the total space $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and the central fibre X_0 is the union of smooth normal crossing hypersurfaces in $\mathcal{X}$ with ample dualizing line bundle K_{X_0}. Then the Kähler-Einstein metrics $g_{E,t}$ on X_t converge to a complete Cheng-Yau Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{E,0}$ in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov.*

In the work of Leung and Lu ([3]), they gave a proof (very different from ours) to a result that implies theorem 1.1. We believe our method here can be easily adopted to provide a new (hopefully easier) proof to their result. They followed the general frame work of Tian too. Their first step is the same as Tian's construction of the background Kähler metrics using cut and paste. In the second step, they constructed h (different from both Tian's and ours) that does not quite satisfy the estimates necessary to carry out the third step as in Tian's work. They compensated this by using a more involved Monge-Ampère estimate of Aubin and Yau in the third step. Therefore, although following the same general frame work of Tian and achieving similar result, our approaches in the three steps are all somewhat different from those in [3]. In addition, the more precise estimate in our second step enable us to work out the estimate of the Weil-Peterson metric near degeneration that generalizes Tian's estimate, which implies that the Weil-Peterson metric is incomplete.

Theorem 1.2 *The restriction of the Weil-Peterson metric on the moduli space of complex structures to the degeneration* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ *is bounded from above by a constant multiple of* $\dfrac{dt \wedge d\bar{t}}{|\log|t||^3|t|^2}$*. In particular, Weil-Peterson metric is incomplete at* $t = 0$*.*

The three steps of our main construction are carried out in sections 2,3,4. Theorem 1.1 is proved in section 4. The incompleteness of the Weil-Peterson metric is discussed in section 5.

Note of notation: We say $A \sim B$ if there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 B \leq A \leq C_2 B$.

2 Construction of the background metric

For construction in this section to work, it is necessary to assume that the dualizing line bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ of the total space $\mathcal{X}$ exists and is ample, which is valid in our situation. Recall that $K_{\mathcal{X}/B} = K_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes K_B^{-1}$ and $K_{X_t} = K_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{X_t} \cong K_{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_t}$. (The last equivalence is not canonical, depending on the trivialization $K_B \cong \mathcal{O}_B$. We will use dt to fix the trivialization of K_B.) Since K_{X_t} is ample for all t, certain multiple $K_{X_t}^m$ will be very ample for all t. Equivalently, $K_{\mathcal{X}}^m$ is very ample on $\mathcal{X}$. It is not hard to find sections $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=0}^{N_m}$ of $K_{\mathcal{X}}^m$ that determine an embedding $e : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{CP}^{N_m}$, such that $\{\Omega_{t,k}\}_{k=0}^{N_m}$ forms a basis of $H^0(K_{X_t})$ for all t, where $\Omega_{t,k} = (\Omega_k \otimes (dt)^{-m})|_{X_t}$. $\{\Omega_{t,k}\}_{k=0}^{N_m}$ will determine a family of embedding $e_t : X_t \to \mathbf{CP}^{N_m}$ such that $e_t = e|_{X_t}$. Choose the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbf{CP}^{N_m}$, and define

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{m} e^* \omega_{FS}, \quad \hat{\omega}_t = \hat{\omega}|_{X_t} = \frac{1}{m} e_t^* \omega_{FS}.$$

Since $K_{\mathcal{X}}^m$ is very ample on $\mathcal{X}$, $\hat{\omega}$ is a smooth metric on $\mathcal{X}$. The Kähler potential of $\hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{\omega}_t$ are the volume forms

$$\hat{V} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N_m} \Omega_k \otimes \bar{\Omega}_k \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \text{ and } \hat{V}_t = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N_m} \Omega_{t,k} \otimes \bar{\Omega}_{t,k} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \hat{V} \otimes (dt \otimes d\bar{t})^{-1} \Big|_{X_t}.$$

Choose a tubular neighborhood U_i of D_i and for each $(k{+}1)$-tuple $I = (i_0, \cdots, i_k)$, denote $U_I = U_{i_1 \cdots i_k} = U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_k}$, which is a tubular neighborhood of

$D_I = D_{i_1\cdots i_k} = D_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap D_{i_k}$. Let $U_I^0 = U_I \setminus \left(\bigcup_{I\subset J} \tilde{U}_J \right)$, where $\tilde{U}_J$ is the closure of slightly shrinked U_J. On U_I^0, we may choose local coordinate $z = (z_0, \cdots, z_n)$ such that $\prod_{j=0}^{k} z_j = t$ and D_{i_j} is defined by $z_j = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. Since $K_{\mathcal{X}}^m$ is ample and therefore base point free, $\hat{V}$ is a non-degenerate smooth volume form on $\mathcal{X}$. Locally

$$\hat{V} = \rho(z) \prod_{j=0}^{n} dz_j d\bar{z}_j,$$

where $\rho(z) \sim 1$ is a smooth positive function. Use $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ as coordinate on X_t, through straightforward computation, we have

$$\hat{V}_t = \rho(z) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{dz_j d\bar{z}_j}{|z_j|^2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} dz_j d\bar{z}_j \right).$$

3 Construction of the approximate metric

Fix Hermitian metrics $\|\cdot\|_i$ of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(D_i)$ on $\mathcal{X}$. Let s_i be the section of line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D_i)$ defining D_i. One may choose a suitable trivialization of $\mathcal{O}(X_0)$ such that $s_1 \cdots s_l = t$ on $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the globally defined functions

$$a_k = \log \|s_k\|_k^2, \;\; a^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_k^2, \;\; h = \frac{(\log |t|^2)^2}{\prod_{k=1}^{l} a_k^2}.$$

Let $V = h\hat{V}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log V &= \hat{\omega} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log h \\ &= \hat{\omega} + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{2}{a_k} \mathrm{Ric}(\|\cdot\|_k) + \alpha = \tilde{\omega} + \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{1}{a_k^2} \partial a_k \bar{\partial} a_k,$$

is always semi-positive. When restricted to X_t, we have

$$\omega_t = \tilde{\omega}_t + \alpha_t, \;\text{ where } \omega_t = \omega|_{X_t}, \; \tilde{\omega}_t = \tilde{\omega}|_{X_t}, \; \alpha_t = \alpha|_{X_t}.$$

By possible multiplication by a constant, we may assume $\|s_k\| \leq \delta$ to be small. Then $|a_k|$ will be large and easily $\frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega} \leq \tilde{\omega} \leq 2\hat{\omega}$. Notice that $V_t = h\hat{V}_t$ is the Kähler potential of ω_t. Assume

$$e^{-\phi_t} = \frac{\omega_t^n}{V_t}.$$

Proposition 3.1 *$|\phi_t|$ is bounded independent of t.*

Proof: It is sufficient to verify in each U_I^0. For $I = \{i_0, \cdots, i_k\}$, on U_I^0, we see that the dominating term of ω_t^n is $\tilde{\omega}_t^{n-k} \wedge \alpha^k$. More precisely, there is a smooth non-zero function ρ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l} \|s_i\|_i^2 = \rho|t|^2 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{X}.$$

When restricted to X_t, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \partial a_i = \partial \log \rho \quad \text{on } X_t.$$

Use this relation to substitute ∂a_{i_0} and $\bar{\partial} a_{i_0}$ in ω_t^n, then the only non-bounded terms will be those involving ∂a_i, $\bar{\partial} a_i$ for $i \in I \backslash \{i_0\}$. The dominating term of ω_t^n is the one involving $\prod\limits_{j(\neq i_0)\in I} \partial a_j \bar{\partial} a_j$, namely

$$\omega_t^n \sim \tilde{\omega}_t^{n-k} \wedge k! \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^k \left(\sum_{i\in I} a_i^2\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I} a_i^2\right)^{-1} \prod_{i(\neq i_0)\in I} \partial a_i \bar{\partial} a_i.$$

Choose local coordinate $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$ on U_I^0 such that $D_i \cap U_I^0$ is defined by $z_i = 0$ for $i \in I$. Then there are smooth non-zero functions ρ_i such that $\|s_i\|_i^2 = \rho_i |z_i|^2$. Then

$$\partial a_i = \partial \log \rho_i + \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

Hence

$$\omega_t^n \sim k! \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^k \left(\sum_{i\in I} a_i^2\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I} a_i^2\right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{i(\neq i_0)\in I} \frac{dz_i d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2}\right) \left(\prod_{i\notin I} dz_i d\bar{z}_i\right).$$

Since a_i^2, $(\log |z_i|^2)^2$ are bounded for $i \notin I$, we have

$$\sum_{i\in I} a_i^2 \sim a^2 \sim (\log |t|^2)^2.$$

For $i \in I$, $a_i^2 \sim (\log|z_i|^2)^2$. Notice that on U_I^0,

$$V_t \sim \frac{(\log|t|^2)^2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{dz_i d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2} \sim \frac{(\log|t|^2)^2}{\prod\limits_{i\in I} a_i^2} \left(\prod_{i(\neq i_0)\in I} \frac{dz_i d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2} \right) \left(\prod_{i \notin I} dz_i d\bar{z}_i \right).$$

Therefore

$$e^{-\phi_t} = \frac{\omega_t^n}{V_t} \sim \frac{\sum_{i\in I} a_i^2}{(\log|t|^2)^2} \sim 1.$$

We have $|\phi_t|$ is bounded.

■

Let g_t denote the Kähler metric corresponding to the Kähler form ω_t, then we have

Proposition 3.2 *The curvature of g_t and its derivatives are all uniformly bounded with respect to t.*

Proof: On a Riemannian manifold (M, g), we call a basis $\{v_i\}$ proper if the corresponding metric matrix satisfies $C_1(\delta_{ij}) \leq (g_{ij}) \leq C_2(\delta_{ij})$ for $C_1, C_2 > 0$. To verify that the Riemannian metric g has bounded curvature, it is enough to find a proper basis $\{v_i\}$ such that the second derivatives of g_{ij} and C^1 norm of the coefficients of $[v_i, v_j]$ with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}$ are all bounded.

For $I = \{0, \cdots, k\}$, in U_I^0, we have coordinate $(z_0, \cdots, z_n)$ satisfying $z_i|_{D_i} = 0$ for $i \in I$. We will restrict to the part of U_I^0 where a_0^2 is the largest among all a_i^2, and take $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ as local coordinate for X_t. Let $W_i = a_i z_i \dfrac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ for $i(\neq 0) \in I$ and $W_i = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ for $i \notin I$. In U_I, the metric can be written as

$$g_t = \tilde{g}_t + \alpha_t, \quad \text{where } \alpha_t = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{a_i^2} \partial a_i \bar{\partial} a_i.$$

It is straightforward to check that the basis $\{W_i, \bar{W}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is proper. Namely $C_1(\delta_{ij}) \leq (g_{i\bar{j}}) \leq C_2(\delta_{ij})$ for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$, where $(g_{i\bar{j}})$ denotes the metric matrix with respect to the basis $\{W_i, \bar{W}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. (For the upper bound estimate, we need $\frac{a_i}{a_0}$ to be bounded, which is due to our restriction to the part of U_I^0 where a_0^2 is the largest among all a_i^2.)

For $j \in I$, $\|s_j\|^2 = \rho_j |z_j|^2$.

$$W_i(a_j) = \frac{W_i(\|s_j\|^2)}{\|s_j\|^2} = \frac{W_i(\rho_j)}{\rho_j} + \frac{W_i(|z_j|^2)}{|z_j|^2}.$$

$$W_i(a_j) = a_i(z_i \frac{\partial \log \rho_j}{\partial z_i} + \delta_{ij}) \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

$$W_i(a_j) = \frac{\partial \log \rho_j}{\partial z_i} \quad \text{for } i \not\in I.$$

The functions

$$\frac{a_i}{a}, \ \frac{\log |t|^2}{a}, \ \frac{\log |t|^2}{a_0}, \ \frac{1}{a_i}, \ a_i z_i, \ a_i \bar{z}_i, \ \frac{a_i}{a_0}, \quad \text{for } i \in I \tag{3.1}$$

are all bunded in the part of U_I^0 where a_0^2 is the largest among all a_i^2. Above computations imply that the derivatives of functions in (3.1) with respect to $\{W_i, \bar{W}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ will be smooth functions of terms in (3.1) and other smooth bounded terms. Therefore they are bounded.

It is straightforward to check that $g_{i\bar{j}}$ and coefficients of $[W_i, W_j]$, $[W_i, \bar{W}_j]$, $[\bar{W}_i, \bar{W}_j]$ with respect to the basis $\{W_i, \bar{W}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are all smooth functions of terms in (3.1) and other bounded smooth terms. Consequently, their any derivatives with respect to $\{W_i, \bar{W}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are also smooth functions of terms in (3.1) and other bounded terms, therefore, are all bounded.

$\blacksquare$

Proposition 3.3 *For any k, $\|\phi_t\|_{C^k, g_t}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to t.*

Proof: Similar as in the proof of previous proposition, for $I = \{0, \cdots, k\}$, in the part of U_I^0 where a_0^2 is the largest among all a_i^2, ϕ_t is a smooth function of terms in (3.1) and other smooth bounded terms. Consequently, $W_i(\phi_t)$ is also a smooth function of terms in (3.1) and other bounded terms. By induction, all higher derivatives of ϕ_t with respect to $\{W_i\}$ will be a smooth function of terms in (3.1) and other bounded terms. Therefore they are bounded.

$\blacksquare$

4 Construction of Kähler-Einstein metric via complex Monge-Ampère

In this section, we will use the same notions as in the previous sections. In [6], using the Monge-Ampère estimate of Aubin and Yau, Tian essentially proved the following.

Theorem 4.1 *(Tian) Assume that ϕ_t, the curvature of g_t and their multi-derivatives are all bounded uniformly independent of t, then the Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{E,t}$ on X_t will converge to the complete Cheng-Yau Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{E,0}$ on $X_0 \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$ in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov: there are an exhaustion of compact subsets $F_\beta \subset X_0 \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$ and diffeomorphisms $\psi_{\beta,t}$ from F_β into X_t satisfying:*
(1) $X_t \setminus \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \psi_{\beta,t}(F_\beta)$ consists of finite union of submanifolds of real codimension 1;
(2) for each fixed β, $\psi^*_{\beta,t} g_{E,t}$ converge to $g_{E,0}$ on F_β in C^k-topology on the space of Riemannian metrics as t goes to 0 for any k.

■

Proof of theorem 1.1: This theorem is a direct corollary of theorem 4.1 and propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3.

■

It is easy to see that our construction actually implies the following asymptotic description of the family of Kähler-Einstein metrics.

Theorem 4.2 *Kähler-Einstein metric $g_{E,t}$ on X_t is uniformly quasi-isometric to the explicit approximate metric g_t. More precisely, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of t such that $C_1 g_t \leq g_{E,t} \leq C_2 g_t$.*

Proof: The uniform C^0-estimate of the complex Monge-Ampère equations implies that $C_1 \omega_t^n \leq \omega_{E,t}^n \leq C_2 \omega_t^n$ for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$. The uniform C^2-estimate of the complex Monge-Ampère equations implis that $\mathrm{Tr}_{g_t} g_{E,t}$ is uniformly bounded from above. Combining these two estimates, we get our conclusion.

■

5 Weil-Peterson metric near degeneration

Example: In general, we consider $(D^*)^{n+1}$, where $D^* = \{0 < |z| < 1\}$, with Kähler-Einstein metric

$$\omega = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|^2 (\log |z_k|^2)^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\partial a_k \wedge \bar{\partial} a_k}{a_k^2},$$

where

$$a_k = \log|z_k|^2,\ \ a^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k^2.$$

We are interested in the hypersurface

$$X_t = \{\prod_{k=0}^{n} z_k = t\} \subset (D^*)^{n+1}.$$

Let $\omega_t = \omega|_{X_t}$, then

$$\omega_t = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|^2(\log|z_k|^2)^2} + \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{\left(\log|t|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|z_k|^2\right)^2}(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{dz_k}{z_k}) \wedge (\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{d\bar{z}_k}{\bar{z}_k}).$$

Let

$$W = \frac{\nabla \log t}{|\nabla \log t|^2},$$

then $\pi_* W = t\dfrac{d}{dt}$. It is straightforward to derive that

$$W = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_k^2}{a^2} z^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}.$$

$$\bar{\partial} W = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{a_k^2}{a^2}\right) z^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$$

is a natural representative of Kodaira-Spencer deformation class in the Dolbeaut cohomology $H^1(T_X)$.

■

For $c < 1$ consider a smaller domain $(D_c^*)^{n+1}$, where $D_c^* = \{0 < |z| < c\}$. For ω_t and W as in the previous example, we have

Proposition 5.1

$$\frac{\displaystyle\int_{X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}} \|\bar{\partial} W\|^2 \omega_t^n}{\displaystyle\int_{X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}} \omega_t^n} = \frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^3} 2n|\log c^2|(1 + \frac{\pi}{2})(1 + O(\epsilon|\log\epsilon|)).$$

Proof: Straightforward computation gives us

$$\omega_t^n = n! \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^n \frac{a^2}{\prod_{k=0}^{n} a_k^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k \wedge d\bar{z_k}}{|z_k|^2} = n! \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^n \frac{a^2}{\prod_{k=0}^{n} a_k^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (da_k \wedge d\theta_k).$$

We may compute the volume of $X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}$.

$$\int_{X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}} \omega_t^n = n! 2^n \int_{\substack{a_k \le \log c^2,\ 1 \le k \le n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \ge \log|t|^2 - \log c^2}} \frac{a^2}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right)\left(\log|t|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} da_k$$

$$= n! 2^n \frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^n} \int_{\substack{b_k \ge \epsilon,\ 1 \le k \le n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \le 1 - \epsilon}} \frac{b^2}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right)\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k\right)^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} db_k$$

$$= (n+1)! 2^n \frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^n} \int_{\substack{b_k \ge \epsilon,\ 1 \le k \le n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \le 1 - \epsilon}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} db_k$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{\log c^2}{\log|t|^2},\ b_k = \frac{a_k}{|\log|t|^2|}.$$

From this, it is straightforward to derive that

$$\frac{(n+1)! 2^n}{|\log|t|^2|^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{b_k^2} db_k \le \int_{X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}} \omega_t^n \le \frac{(n+1)! 2^n}{|\log|t|^2|^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} db_k$$

$$\frac{(n+1)! 2^n}{|\log c^2|^n} (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n})^n \le \int_{X_t \cap (D_c^*)^{n+1}} \omega_t^n \le \frac{(n+1)! 2^n}{|\log c^2|^n} (1 - \epsilon)^n.$$

Notice

$$\|\bar{\partial} W\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k^2} \left\| \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{a_k^2}{a^2}\right) \right\|^2$$

$$\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{a_k^2}{a^2}\right) = \frac{2a_k}{a^2} \bar{\partial} a_k - \frac{2a_k^2}{a^4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j \bar{\partial} a_j$$

It is straightforward to compute

$$\left\|\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{a_k^2}{a^2}\right)\right\|^2 = \frac{4a_k^4}{a^8}(a^4 - 2a_k^2a^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j^4)$$

$$\|\bar{\partial}W\|^2 = \frac{4}{a^6}(a^4 - \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j^4) = \frac{4}{a^6}(\sum_{i\neq j} a_i^2a_j^2).$$

$$\int_{X_t\cap(D_c^*)^{n+1}} \|\bar{\partial}W\|^2\omega_t^n = n!2^n \int_{\substack{a_k \leq \log c^2,\ 1\leq k\leq n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \geq \log|t|^2 - \log c^2}} \frac{4\left(\sum_{i\neq j} a_i^2a_j^2\right)\prod_{k=1}^{n} da_k}{a^4\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right)\left(\log|t|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^2}$$

$$= n!2^n\frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^{n+2}}\int_{\substack{b_k \geq \epsilon,\ 1\leq k\leq n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \leq 1-\epsilon}} \frac{4\left(\sum_{i\neq j} b_i^2b_j^2\right)}{b^4\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right)\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k\right)^2}\prod_{k=1}^{n} db_k$$

$$= (n+1)!2^{n+1}n\frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^{n+2}}\int_{\substack{b_k \geq \epsilon,\ 1\leq k\leq n \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \leq 1-\epsilon}} \frac{1}{b^4\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^2\right)}\prod_{k=1}^{n} db_k$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)!2^{n+1}n}{|\log c^2|^{n-1}}\frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\int_0^1 \frac{db_n}{(b_n^2 + (1-b_n)^2)^2}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\int_1^{+\infty}\frac{dx_k}{x_k^2}(1 + O(\epsilon|\log\epsilon|)).$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\frac{(n+1)!2^{n+1}n}{|\log c^2|^{n-1}}(1 + \frac{\pi}{2})(1 + O(\epsilon|\log\epsilon|)).$$

$$\frac{\int_{X_t\cap(D_c^*)^{n+1}} \|\bar{\partial}W\|^2\omega_t^n}{\int_{X_t\cap(D_c^*)^{n+1}} \omega_t^n} = \frac{1}{|\log|t|^2|^3}2n|\log c^2|(1 + \frac{\pi}{2})(1 + O(\epsilon|\log\epsilon|)).$$

■

With respect to the approximate Kähler metric g and parametrizing function t on $\mathcal{X}$, we can similarly define W. $\bar{\partial}W$ similarly represents the Kodaira-Spencer deformation class. We have

Proposition 5.2 *There exists a constant* $C1, C_2 > 0$ *independent of* t *such that*

$$\frac{C_1}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\int_{X_t}\omega_t^n \le \int_{X_t}\|\bar\partial W\|^2_{g_t}\omega_t^n \le \frac{C_2}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\int_{X_t}\omega_t^n.$$

Proof: Locally in each U_I^0, we will use similar coordinate and proper basis $\{W_i, \bar W_i\}_{i=0}^n$ as in the proof of proposition 3.2. Then the dual basis is $\{\beta_i, \bar\beta_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where $\beta_i = \frac{dz_i}{a_i z_i}$, $a_i = \log|z_i|^2$ for $i \in I$ and $\beta_i = dz_i$ for $i \notin I$. We have

$$\omega = \sum_{i\in I}\beta_i\bar\beta_i + \sum_{i,j\notin I} g_{i\bar j}dz_i d\bar z_j + O(a_i^{-1}, |z_i|a_i, i\in I).$$

The term $O(a_i^{-1}, |z_i|a_i, i \in I)$ is a smooth function of bounded terms in (3.1), therefore the multi-derivatives of it with respect to $\{W_i, \bar W_i\}_{i=0}^n$ are also of order $O(a_i^{-1}, |z_i|a_i, i \in I)$, which are small in U_I^0. It is straightforward to derive that

$$W = \sum_{i\in I}\frac{a_i^2}{a^2}z^i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{1}{a}O(a_i^{-1}, |z_i|a_i, i\in I).$$

$$\bar\partial W = \sum_{i\in I}\bar\partial\left(\frac{a_i^2}{a^2}\right)z^i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{1}{a}O(a_i^{-1}, |z_i|a_i, i\in I).$$

Apply proposition 5.2, we can find $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of t such that

$$\frac{C_1}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\int_{U_I^0\cap X_t}\omega_t^n \le \int_{U_I^0\cap X_t}\|\bar\partial W\|^2_{g_t}\omega_t^n \le \frac{C_2}{|\log|t|^2|^3}\int_{U_I^0\cap X_t}\omega_t^n.$$

Conbine these estimates, we get the statement of the proposition.

■

Proof of theorem 1.2: As pointed out in [6]

$$g_{WP}\left(\frac{d}{dt},\frac{d}{dt}\right)\bigg|_{X_t} = \int_{X_t}\left\|H\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\right\|^2_{g_{E,t}}\omega_{E,t}^n$$

where $H\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)$ denote the harmonic representative of the Kodaira-Spencer deformation class. As mentioned earlier, such class can also be represented by $\frac{\bar\partial W}{t}$. Apply proposition 5.2 and theorem 4.2, we have

$$\int_{X_t}\left\|H\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\right\|^2_{g_{E,t}}\omega_{E,t}^n \le \int_{X_t}\left\|\frac{\bar\partial W}{t}\right\|^2_{g_{E,t}}\omega_{E,t}^n \le C\int_{X_t}\left\|\frac{\bar\partial W}{t}\right\|^2_{g_t}\omega_t^n \le \frac{C}{|\log|t||^3|t|^2}.$$

■

Remark: W in this section can be used to simplify the proof of Tian's theorem 4.1. Let $\mu_t : X_t \to X_0$ be the map generated by the inverse flow of W. Then the restriction $\mu_t^0 : X_t \setminus \mu_t^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)) \to X_0 \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore $\psi_t = (\mu_t^0)^{-1} : X_0 \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(X_0) \to X_t \setminus \mu_t^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0))$ is a diffeomorphism. In particular, $\psi_{\beta,t} := \psi_t|_{F_\beta}$ is a diffeomorphism. With such choice of $\psi_{\beta,t}$, $X_t \setminus \bigcup\limits_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \psi_{\beta,t}(F_\beta)$ can be naturally identified with $\mu_t^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0))$, which is naturally a finite union of submanifolds of real codimension 1. Another nice thing about ψ_t is that it is a symplectomorphism.

References

[1] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded. I*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), 309-346; II, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 269-298.

[2] S. Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau, *On Inequality between Chern numbers of singular Kähler surfaces and characterization of orbit space of discrete group of $SU(2,1)$*, Contemporary Math. **49** (1986), 31-43.

[3] N. Leung and P. Lu, *Degeneration of Kähler Einstein metrics on complete Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Analysis and Geometry, Vol. 7, No. 3 (1999).

[4] W. D. Ruan, *On the convergence and collapsing of Kähler manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry, Volume 52 (1999), 1-40.

[5] ———, *Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry, Vol. 6 (1998), 589-631.

[6] G. Tian, *Degeneration of Kähler-Einstein manifolds I*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol.54, Part 2, 595-609.

[7] G. Tian and S.-T. Yau, *existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on complete Kähler manifolds and their applications to algebraic geometry*, Math. Aspects of String Theory (Edited by S. -T. Yau) pp. 574-628, World Sci. Publishing, 1987.

[8] S.-T. Yau, *On Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **74** (1977), 1798–1799.

[9] ———, *Métriques de Kähler-Einstein sur les variétés overtes*, Astérisque **58** (1978), 163-167.

[10] ———, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure. and Appl. Math., **31** (1978), 339-411.